

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/822,073	03/30/2001	Lenny Low	PA-Y0048	8069	
75	90 03/13/2002				
Joyce Kosinski Loral Space and Communications, Ltd. Suite 303			EXAMINER		
			ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK		
655 Deep Valle	y Drive				
Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ V
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		7
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	Atkinson	1	3143	<del></del>
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence add	dress
Period for Response	っ			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH	I(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) decention.</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response to the set of the period for response to the set of the period for response to the period for the period for response to the period for the period</li></ul>	ays, a response within the statuto	ory minimum of thi from the mailing	rty (30) days will be co	onsidered timel
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			the merits is close	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s)	is/are p	ending in the applic	cation.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are w	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are re	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		15/ai e Ui		
• •			-	r election
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			ject to restriction of	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are sub	ject to restriction of	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948.	are sub requirer	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are sub requirer	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved figeted to by the Examiner.	are sub requirer	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved figeted to by the Examiner.	are sub requirer	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved figerted to by the Examiner.  r.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha	are sub requirer  disapproved  disapproved	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved   jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents ha	are sub requirer  disapproved  disapproved  d).	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved   jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT R	are sub requirer  disapproved  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved   jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT R	are sub requirer  disapproved  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3/30/0 i is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the stage content of the copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved   jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT R	are sub requirer  disapproved  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ject to restriction of ment.	r election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on 3/30/0 i is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved   jected to by the Examiner.  r.  r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-0 of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT R	are sub requirer  disapproved  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ject to restriction of ment.	on, PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Art Unit: 3743

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because at least reference characters "10", "14' and "15" has been used to designate at least two different devices.

Applicant is requested to correct all drawing errors. Correction is required.

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide clear support for the claim terminology. 37 CFR § 1.75(d)(1) requires that terms and phrases used in the claims find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. Specifically, at least the term "heat dissipating apparatus" does not appear in the specification. Applicant is requested to correct all specification errors.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 1, there is an inconsistency within the claim. The preamble indicates subcombination "for use on a spacecraft ..." while the body of the claim there is a positive recital of structure (i.e. spacecraft) indicating combination. The Examiner cannot be sure if applicant's intent is to claim merely the system or the system and in combination with a spacecraft. Also in claim 1, the recitation "from heat dissipating apparatus" is indefinite and it is not known if applicant is claiming a heat dissipating apparatus or not.

Art Unit: 3743

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Esposto.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

March 11, 2002

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER